

**Mississippi Libraries Article Review Form**

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

**Content**

|  |     |    |     |
|--|-----|----|-----|
| Is the topic of interest to Mississippi libraries? | YES | NO |     |
| Does the article appear to be well-researched?     | YES | NO |     |
| Is the article factually correct?                  | YES | NO |     |
| Do the graphics enhance the article?               | YES | NO | N/A |

**Organization**

|   |          |           |            |
|---|----------|-----------|------------|
| Is the scope of the topic reasonable?             | Adequate | Too broad | Too narrow |
| Is the thesis clearly stated in the introduction? | YES      | NO        |            |
| Is the thesis logically developed?                | YES      | NO        |            |
| Are the conclusions valid?                        | YES      | NO        |            |

**Style**

|                                 |     |    |
|---------------------------------|-----|----|
| Is the article clearly written? | YES | NO |
| Is the article concise?         | YES | NO |

**How much re-writing is needed?**                      None    Minor    Moderate    Extensive

**Recommend for publication in *Mississippi Libraries*?**

- Yes, this should be published in the peer-review issue.
- Yes, but in a non peer-review issue.
- No.

**Comments:**

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_